

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,830	04/26/2005	Hiroshi Shimada	Q87428	8875	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 08/25/200 ION PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	GAKH, Y	GAKH, YELENA G		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-,		1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,830	SHIMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1797	

	reiena o. oakii, r n.b.	1737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise he issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	of Canadan had been at him Can		DTOL 204)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. \(\subseteq \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 23-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	,						

/Yelena G. Gakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because the specification is not written in clear and definite language, the examiner misinterpreted the disclosure as describing the method in which nitrogen oxides are reduced to ammonia using denitration catalysts. In fact, ammonia is used as a reducing agent which upon reaction with nitrogen oxides yields nitrogen agent. This is not clearly disclosed in the specification except for Figure 3. Further, the method is obviously based on calculating ratio of ammonia and nitrogen oxides at the input and output of each catalyst. The examiner failed to find a disclosure of sensors which would be placed at each input and output of each catalyst. The examiner respectfully requests the applicants to indiction where these sensors are disclosed and/or shown on the drawings. Moreover, the claims are silent, as to how the amounts of ammonia and nitrogen oxides are separately but simultaneously measured at the input of each catalyst in order to determine their ratio. If the Aginations consider it helpful to have an interview with the examiner through their US representative in order to clarify the steps for performing the claimed method, the examiner encourages the Apolicants to request for such interview.